

U.S. Department of Transportation Research and Special Programs

Administration

JUL 15 2002

400 Seventh St., S.W. Washington, D.C. 20590

Ref. No.: 02-0110

Mr. Ronald J. Stokes
ExxonMobil Chemical Company
Intermediates Synthetics Product Stewardship
P.O. Box 3140
Edison, New Jersey 08818

Dear Mr. Stokes:

This is in response to your April 1, 2002, letter regarding the applicability of the Hazardous Materials Regulations (HMR; 49 CFR Parts 171-180), pertaining to manufacturer's and/or test markings on DOT specification as UN standards for non-bulk single and combination packaging for non-regulated materials.

The HMR, at 49 CFR §173.22 (a)(1)(2), specify that except as otherwise provided in this part, a person may offer a hazardous material for transportation in a packaging or container required by this part only accordance with the following. The person shall class and describe the hazardous material in accordance with parts172 and 173 of the HMR.

In addition, the person shall determine that the packaging or container is an authorized packaging, including part 173 requirements, and that it has been manufactured, assembled, and marked in accordance with section 173.7(a) and parts 173, 178, or 179 of this subchapter. Non-regulated materials and materials not meeting the definition of a hazardous material, hazardous substance or hazardous waste in accordance with §171.8, are not subject to the requirements in 49 CFR. Your questions are answered as follows:

Question:

Can non-regulated material be shipped in a non-bulk single package marked with

a DOT specification and/or UN standard?

Answer:

Yes.

Question:

Can non-regulated material be shipped in a non-bulk combination package

marked with a DOT specification and/or UN standard?

Answer:

Yes.

Ouestion:

If a different (non-tested) inner receptacle is used in a non-bulk combination

package must the DOT specification and/or UN standard be removed (covered)

prior to actual shipment?



02-0110

141,510)

Answer:

No. Specification markings are not required to be removed for the combination

packaging described in your scenario.

I hope this information is helpful. Please contact us if you require additional assistance.

Sincerely,

Delmer F. Billings

Chief, Standards Development

Office of Hazardous Materials Standards

ExxonMobil Chemical Company

Intermediates, Synthetics Product Stewardship P.O. Box 3140 Edison, New Jersey 08818 732 321 6033 Telephone 732 321 6057 Facsimile

April 1, 2002

Relevford § 171.26) § 178.2. Packaging Chemical 02-0110

Mr. Edward T. Mazzullo Director, Office of Hazardous Materials Standards U.S. DOT/RSPA (DHM-10) 400 7th Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20590-0001

> Ref: Paragraph 171.2(c) Subparagraph 171.2(f)(2) Paragraph 178.2(d) of 49 CFR

Dear Mr. Mazzullo:

Pursuant to the referenced requirements, we are looking for guidance concerning the communication of the manufacturer's and/or tester's marking of a DOT specification or UN standard on non-bulk packagings. Additionally, the packagings may be identified as single or combination packaging with capacities less than or equal to 5 gallons.

The specific issues are addressed in the following questions (Q):

- Q. Can non-regulated material be shipped in a non-bulk single package marked with a DOT specification and/or UN standard?
- Q. Can non-regulated material be shipped in a non-bulk combination package marked with a DOT specification and/or UN standard?
- Q. If a different (non-tested) inner receptacle is used in a non-bulk combination package must the DOT specification and/or UN standard be removed (covered) prior to actual shipment?

Should there be any questions concerning this request, I may be contacted at the above address or telephone numbers shown below.

Thanks for your help in this matter.

Sincerely,

Ronald J. Stokes Tel (732) 321-6046 Fax (732) 321-6057

Janaly To

Email: ron.j.stokes@exxonmobil.com